

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/528,729	OISEL ET AL.	

<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
Yubin Hung	2624	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** Pending

(1) Yubin Hung.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Guy Eriksen.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 28 December 2006
**Time:** 15:40
**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**


Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**
*Proposed examiner's amendment was discussed and agreement was reached. (See the section under "Examiner's Amendment" in the office action for detail.)*
**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)